

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,428	07/21/2003	Ligang Lu	YOR920030210US1	6431	
Paul D. Greeley	7590 03/21/200 7. Esa.	EXAMINER			
Ohlandt, Greele	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, I	ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E			
10th Floor One Landmark	Square	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		03/21/2008	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,42	28	LU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		CHIKAOD	ILI E. ANYIKIRE	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even. In. eriod will apply and westatute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 December 2	007					
•								
3)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>,</b>	.,,					
· ·		ation						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				
. чро	. ,		,					

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 04, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 1-10 are currently pending.

- 2. The applicant argues that the reference does not disclose a primary memory (Amendment of 12/04/07, pg 9 Ln 5-6) and signal generation (Amendment of 12/04/07, pg 9 Ln 14-15), as in the claims. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The prior art teaches multiple memory and buffers in this case the FIFO buffer would serve as the primary buffer. The applicant should notice the flow of data in the I-frame processing versus MC data, which discloses storing blocks in an external memory when processing MC data and discloses a buffer memory when dealing with intra-picture (I-picture); (Yoshioka et al, Col 11 Ln 39-67).
- 3. In response to applicant's arguments against the individual Hoogenboom and Lee references (*Amendment of 12/04/07, pg 9 Ln 23-26 and pg 11 Ln 5-9*), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 4. A detailed description of the newly amended claims as follows below.

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per **claim 2**, Yoshioka et al disclose a power aware decompression method for decoding a predicatively encoded data stream, comprising:

- (a) generating a first selection signal which signals whether the data to be used for prediction resides in primary memory in part or in whole (Fig 4; Col 11 Ln 13- Col 11 Ln 28);
- (b) if the first selection signal indicates that a portion of the said prediction data or the whole of the said prediction data is not present in primary memory (Fig 4, 4-6; Col 12 Ln 1-21 and Col 13 Ln 53-Col 14 Ln 9):
  - i. generating a second selection signal, based on an estimate of the future needs of the prediction process, to signal that portion of the primary memory where the prediction data, which is not already present in primary memory, should reside (Figs 4-6; Col 12 Ln 1-21), and
  - ii. transferring said prediction data that is not already present in primary memory, from secondary memory to that portion of the primary memory indicated

Art Unit: 2621

by the second selection signal (Figs 4-6 and 10; Col 11 Ln 13- Ln 41 and Col 12 Ln 1-Col 13 Ln 10), and

(c) generating a prediction signal to be used in the process of decompression by manipulating data residing in primary memory (Fig 4-6 and 10; Col 11 Ln 30- Ln 41 and Col 14 Ln 10-48).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 1, 3-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoogenboom et al (US 5,675,387) in view of Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per **claim 1**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a decoding power aware encoding method for generating a predicatively encoded data stream, in which predictions, that

result in a reduction in the amount of data transferred from the secondary memory to primary memory during the decoding process, are favored, said method for favoring certain predictions comprising:

- (a) a model (Fig 1) for transfer of data from secondary memory (Fig 1, 22 and 30) incorporating a memory management in the decoding process (Col 5 Ln 53-61 and Col 6 Ln 56- Col 7 Ln 5);
- (b) a scheme for weighting the relative merits of favoring a certain prediction and the associated loss in compression gain (Col 11 Ln 35- Col 12 Ln 2), and
- (c) based on said weighting scheme, choosing a particular prediction from the candidates allowed by the compression scheme (Col 11 Ln 66- Col 12 Ln 2; the prior art explains the motion vector and the factor being scaled with 2).

Hoogenboom et al disclose a memory management model that reduces the number of access to the secondary memory (DRAM 22), which will result in a reduced power decoding (Col 3 Ln 62-67).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach using a primary memory in addition to the secondary memory and conventional buffer memories.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach utilizing primary memory (onchip memory) in addition to the internal memories as a primary memory (Fig 4, 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce

the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 3**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a method for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

- (a) processing the coded data stream to produce outputted decoded data frames(Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames38);
- (b) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from an external memory for storage and retrieval of previously decoded reference data frames (Fig 1, 22; Col 5 Ln 53- Col 6 Ln 4 and Col 6 Ln 56-59).
- (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a memory manager for retrieval of data frames being decoded currently (fig 1, 30; Col 5 Ln 53-Col 6 Ln 4 and Col 6 Ln 56-59).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a primary memory for storage and retrieval of data frames being decoded currently.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a primary memory (Fig 4, 3 and 4) for storage and retrieval of data frames being decoded currently (Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of

Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 4**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

- (a) a processor for outputting the decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38);
  - (b) an external memory (Fig 1, 22);
- (c) high speed access relative to the external memory's speed (Fig 1, 22 and 30; Col 6 Ln 15-35 and Col 7 Ln 12-31; DRAM 22 maybe provided as internal memory within the video decomposition processor), and
- (d) a memory management scheme (Fig 1, 30) for decreasing the amount of traffic to the external memory (Fig 1, 22) so as to provide better real-time performance and power saving by a connection arrangement for transmission from the processor to the external memories (Fig 1, 22; Col 3 Ln 39-67).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach an internal primary memory having high speed access relative to the external memory's speed.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach utilizing an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory's speed (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to

include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 5**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system as defined in claim 4, comprising motion compensation function (Fig 1, 46) of data decoding (Fig 1, 30; Col 6 Ln 36-48).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach said internal primary memory is dedicated to the motion compensation of data decoding.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach said internal primary memory (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 6**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system as defined in claim 4, wherein the processor receives the data stream at its input, and has output respectively connected to the external memories(Fig 1, 22) and a further output providing decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach internal memories.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach internal memories (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 7**, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

- (a) a processor for outputting decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38);
- (b) motion compensation means having a memory for storing a reference data frame as well as a data frame being decoded currently (Fig 1, 30 and 46; Col 6 Ln 36-48);
  - (c) an external memory (Fig 1, 22; Col 5 Ln 53- Col 6 Ln 48);
- (d) high speed access relative to the external memory (Fig 1, 30 and 46; Col 6 Ln 15-35 and Col 7 Ln 12-31; DRAM 22 maybe provided as internal memory within the video decomposition processor), and
- (e) motion compensation function of decoding (Fig 1, 30, 42, 44, and 46; Col 6 Ln 36-48).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 10**, arguments analogous to those presented for the rejection of claim 3 are applicable to the rejection claim 10.

Furthermore, Yoshioka's memory controller 6 (Figs 4 and 10) controls the amount of traffic to the external memory.

10. Claims 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al (US 5,675,387) in view of Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per **claim 8**, Lee et al disclose a system for encoding an input data frame comprising:

(a) a motion estimator for receiving an input frame and for searching to find the best match between an input frame and an area in a reference frame (Fig 1, 20; Col 4 Ln 1-9 and Col 4 Ln 64- Col 5 Ln 7);

- (c) a motion vector selector coupled to the output of the motion estimator (Fig 8, 106, 108, and 110; Col 9 Ln 59- Col 10 Ln 65);
  - (d) a memory for storing data reference frames (Fig 1, 16; Col 4 Ln 48-63), and
- (e) a quality and rate controller coupled to the motion vector selector (Fig 8, 100, 102, 104; Col 9 Ln 59- Col 10-65).

However, Lee et al does not explicitly teach a primary memory model coupled to the motion estimator.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach a primary memory model (Fig 4, 4) coupled to the motion estimator (Fig 4, 7; Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Lee et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per **claim 9**, Lee et al disclose a system for encoding a data frame as defined in claim 8 further comprising a motion vectors module for determining the motion vectors based on the current block and the best matched candidate (Fig 7; Col 8 Ln 7-67).

Art Unit: 2621

### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE whose telephone number is (571)270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272 - 7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CEA /Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621